

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/025,911	12/26/2001	Dong Jae You	8733.543.00	7511
30827 MCKENNA I	7590 12/13/2007 ONG & ALDRIDGE LLP	EXAMINER		
1900 K STREI	ET, NW	SANTIAGO, MARICELI		
WASHINGTO	ON, DC 20006		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2879	
		-	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/13/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	,

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/025,911	YOU, DONG JAE	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Mariceli Santiago	2879	
The MAILING DATE of this communicati Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR			

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF T - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.	HIS COMMUNICATION.				
 If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the apply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this cearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	polication to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 October 20	07.				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This action is					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance excep	ot for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte C	uayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1,2,4,5 and 18 is/are pending in the application					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from c	onsideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4,5 and 18</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election	requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 December 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠	accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s)					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is requ	-				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. N					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:					
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have be	en received in Application No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 2879

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on October 19, 2007 has been entered.

Response to Amendment

The Amendment, filed on September 14, 2007, has been entered and acknowledged by the Examiner.

Cancellation of claims 3, 6-17 and 19 has been entered.

Claims 1, 2, 4, 5 and 18 are pending in the instant application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Woofter et al. (US 3,125,299).

Regarding claim 1, Woofter discloses a lamp apparatus (Figs. 13-14), comprising a lamp (74) capable of using a discharge of an external voltage applied to an electrode (75) of the lamp

Application/Control Number:

10/025,911 Art Unit: 2879

to generate light for the liquid crystal display, a wire to deliver the external voltage to the lamp, and an L-shaped connector (78) for electrically connecting the electrode of the lamp to the wire, the L-shaped connector (78) directly contacting the electrode (at 77) of the lamp and a wire electrode (79) provided at the end of the wire, wherein the L-shaped connector includes a first curved wing (77, Fig 14) to be pressed only around the electrode of the lamp, and a second curved wing (79, Fig. 14) to be pressed around a wire electrode, wherein the first curved wing and the second curved wing are integrally formed.

Woofter exemplifies the connector (78) provided with an additional curved wing contacting a second portion of the wire, instead of only contacting the electrode and the wire electrode, however, it is noted that applicant's specific connection (i.e., only between the connector and both the lamp electrode and the electrode wire) as stated in the claim does not solve any of the stated problems or yield any unexpected results that in not within the scope of the teaching applied. Moreover, it appears the connector would perform equally well when contacting additional portions of the lamp and/or the wire. Thus, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skills in the art to modify the lamp assembly of Woofter such that the connector only contacts the lamp electrode and the wire electrode, since such modification would be considered a mere design consideration which fails to patentably distinguish over the prior art to Woofter.

The recitation "for a liquid crystal" is considered an intended used recitation. It has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed element is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed element from a prior art structure satisfying the claimed structural limitations. *Ex parte Masham*, 2 USPQ 2d 1647 (1987).

Regarding claims 2 and 4, Woofter discloses a lamp apparatus comprising a unifying means (81) for integrally forming the electrode of the lamp and the wire electrically connected to each other via the connector, wherein the unifying means is a product for unifying an end of the lamp, the electrode of the lamp, the connector, the wire electrode, and the end of the wire (Fig. 13-14).

The recitation to "injection molded product" is considered to be directed to a method of manufacturing the product, accordingly, it is not considered not germane to the issue of patentability of the claimed device.

Claims 1, 2 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Park et al. (US 6,799,987).

Regarding claim 1, Park discloses a lamp apparatus (Figs. 5-6), comprising a lamp (2) capable of using a discharge of an external voltage applied to an electrode (8) of the lamp to generate light, a wire (4) to deliver the external voltage to the lamp, and an L-shaped connector (78) for electrically connecting the electrode of the lamp to the wire, the L-shaped connector (22) directly contacting the electrode (at 22A, or 24A) of the lamp and a wire electrode provided at the end of the wire (at 22B or 24B), wherein the L-shaped connector includes a first wing (22A, 24A) to be pressed around the electrode of the lamp, and a second wing (22B, 24B) to be pressed around a portion of the wire electrode, wherein the first wing and the second wing are integrally formed.

Park fails to explicitly state the first wing and the second wings being curved, however, Park states that the first and second wings are crimped² around the electrode and the wire elements respectively, thus providing a secured connection. Moreover, Park exemplifies in the

¹ consisting or composed of parts that together constitute a whole

embodiment shown in Fig. 3, wherein wing sections (18C, 18A) contacting the electrode and the wire have curved profiles conforming to the shaped of the electrode and the wire, which may also be crimped around the electrode and wire. It is considered within the capability of one skilled in the art to provide curved-shaped wings conforming to the shape of the electrode and wire components, as an obvious matter of design engineering as evidenced by the embodiment shown in Fig. 3. Thus, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skills in the art to incorporate curved-shaped wings as exemplified in the embodiment of Fig. 3 of Park as an obvious matter of design engineering, in order to provide securing means conforming with the respective shape of the electrode and wire components.

Park exemplifies the connector contacting the lamp electrode and the wire electrode along with additional portions of the lamp and the wire, instead of only contacting the electrode and the wire electrode, however, it is noted that applicant's specific connection (i.e., only between the connector and both the lamp electrode and the electrode wire) as stated in the claim does not solve any of the stated problems or yield any unexpected results that in not within the scope of the teaching applied. Moreover, it appears the connector would perform equally well when contacting additional portions of the lamp and/or the wire. Thus, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skills in the art to modify the lamp assembly of Park such that the connector only contacts the lamp electrode and the wire electrode, since such modification would be considered a mere design consideration which fails to patentably distinguish over the prior art to Park.

The recitation "for a liquid crystal" is considered an intended used recitation. It has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed element is intended to be

² To bend or mold (leather) into shape

Art Unit: 2879

employed does not differentiate the claimed element from a prior art structure satisfying the claimed structural limitations. *Ex parte Masham*, 2 USPQ 2d 1647 (1987).

Regarding claims 2 and 4, Park discloses a lamp apparatus comprising a unifying means (6) for integrally³ forming the electrode of the lamp and the wire electrically connected to each other via the connector, wherein the unifying means is a product for unifying an end of the lamp, the electrode of the lamp, the connector, the wire electrode, and the end of the wire.

The recitation to "injection molded product" is considered to be directed to a method of manufacturing the product, accordingly, it is not considered not germane to the issue of patentability of the claimed device.

Claim 5 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Park et al. (US 6,799,987) in view of Yamashita et al. (JP 09-259749 A).

Regarding claims 5 and 18, Park exemplifies the limitation of the unifying means (6) being provided around the lamp and the wire. However, Park fails to exemplify the limitation of the material for the unifying means being selected from the group consisting of plastic or silicon. In the same field of endeavor, Yamashita discloses a lamp apparatus provided with unifying means (7) made of a plastic material (¶s[0008], [0011]). It is considered within the capabilities of one skilled in the art the selection of a material based on its known suitability for an intended application as an obvious matter of design engineering. Thus, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skills in the art at the time the invention was made to use plastic material for the unifying means, since the selection of known materials for a known purpose is within the skill of the art.

³ consisting or composed of parts that together constitute a whole

10/025,911 Art Unit: 2879

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 2, 4, 5 and 18 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mariceli Santiago whose telephone number is (571) 272-2464. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:30 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nimesh Patel, can be reached on (571) 272-2457. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mariceli Santiago Primary Examiner Art Unit 2879